

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,457	ONO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James W. Cranson	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to remarks filed 7/15/20	<u>05</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-15</u> .		`
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>29 July 2005</u> are accepted by the Ex	caminer.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submin FORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date  (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the content of the conten	e been received.  be been received in Application No cuments have been received in this a of this communication to file a reply a IENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' as reason(s) why the oath or declara of the submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- as Amendment / Comment or in the Oct.  84(c)) should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage application from the complying with the requirements  S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient.  948) attached  office action of the back) of the complying with the front (not the back) of the complying the submitted. Note the
attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL IN FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICA	nust be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No./Mail Dat 18), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn	e nent/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		nt of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material .	9.	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The objection to the drawing in the Office Action mailed 4/27/05 is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 7/15/2005, with respect to Office Action mailed 4/27/2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-15 has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-15 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant states that "it is to be noted that the case cited in the Official Action (In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47, CCPA 1966) does not hold that lacking any criticality, changing the form or shape of prior art parts is within the skill of an ordinary person in the art. The case concerns the patentability of a baby bottle nipple that is collapsible so that air is not admitted as the contents are drained. The Court states that the primary reference discloses that the flexible portion of its container is drawn into the rigid top portion, filling the space thereof, and that the Appellants presented no argument which convinced the Court that the particular configuration of the claimed container significant is anything more than one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing mating surfaces in the collapsed container of the prior art. In other words, the operation of the device did not change as a result of the change of shape; both shapes provided mating surfaces in the collapsed container. However, changes the operation

Application/Control Number: 10/628,457

Art Unit: 2875

of the device, the application the change in form or shape explained page lines presser changes the illumination pattern from that shown and page lines 13-24, the change shape of the Figure 2 to the one shown in Figure The entire side surface of the presser which light is applied becomes highly illuminated, causing a large area of illumination. This is a significant improvement in operation of the pushbutton. The specification as filed explains the criticality of this feature at the above-noted sections and thus the criticality not lacking. There is nothing in the APA that suggests an improvement is achievable from a change in shape of the presser to conical as claimed and thus the claims avoid this rejection under &103. Claims 4-5, 9-10, and 14-15 were rejected as unpatentable over the APA in view of RUDISILL 5,711,588. The shortcoming of the APA noted above negate the objection. "Examiner has reconsidered and agrees with Applicant's remarks. In particular, claim 1 recites an illumination structure for a pushbutton which operates as function button in an electronic device, comprising: pushbutton switch having board with circuit, metal sheet on board, light-transmissive pushbutton in opening in casing of device for being pressed fromoutside, light-transmissive pushbutton having outer button and presser attached to outer button and close to board to contact sheet metal, and light-emitting element on board around presser to light side surface of pressor, pressor having conical shape having upper surface as a bottom surface substantially aligned with a lower surface of button and a vertex for contacting metal sheet. This combination of limitations is not found or taught in the art of record.

Page 3

Claims 2-15 depend from claim 1, add further limitations and are allowable for the same reasons

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue Art Unit: 2875

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Cranson whose telephone number is 571-272-2368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30A.M.- 5:00P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).